



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,580	08/25/2003	Richard Harvey	063170.6292	4093
5073 7590 02/27/2008 BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. 2001 ROSS AVENUE SUITE 600 DALLAS, TX 75201-2980				
EXAMINER ZHEN, L I B				
ART UNIT 2194		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 02/27/2008		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptomail1@bakerbotts.com
glenda.orrantia@bakerbotts.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/648,580

Applicant(s)

HARVEY ET AL.

Examiner

Li B. Zhen

Art Unit

2194

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 November 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SF/88)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/17/2007; 2/15/2008
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 – 12 are pending in the application.

Specification

2. Applicant's specification [p. 55 – 92] includes computer program listing having over 300 lines. Any computer program listing having over 300 lines (up to 72 characters per line) must, be submitted on a compact disc in compliance with § 1.52(e). A compact disc containing such a computer program listing is to be referred to as a "computer program listing appendix." The "computer program listing appendix" will not be part of the printed patent. The specification must include a reference to the "computer program listing appendix" at the location indicated in §1.77(b)(4). See 37 CFR 1.96 (c). The computer program listing should be removed from the specification.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. **Claims 1 – 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0023957 to Bau, III et al. [hereinafter Bau, previously cited] in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0110242 to Brown et al. [hereinafter Brown].**

7. As to claim 1, Bau teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a method of generating keys for object(s) in a Web Services [p. 2, paragraph 0024] arrangement, comprising:

determining if at least one object [conversational instance; p. 4, paragraph 0037 and pp. 7 – 8, paragraph 0074] has a defined first key [client embeds its own instance identifier as part of the conversation ID; p. 6, paragraph 0063];

if the at least one object has a defined first key, providing that defined first key for the at least one object [other unique objects can be used to generate a unique identifier in lieu of the GUID; p. 6, paragraph 0063]; and

if the at least one object does not have a defined first key, providing a second key for the at least one object [client generates a globally unique identifier (GUID); p. 6, paragraph 0063]. Although Bau teaches the invention substantially, Bau does not specifically teach determining if at least one object based on at least one of a plurality of Universal Description, Discovery and Integration (UDDI) objects has a defined first key.

However, Brown teaches determining if at least one object based on at least one of a plurality of Universal Description, Discovery and Integration (UDDI) objects [p. 3, paragraph 0034] has a defined first key [UDDI directory returns to the requesting server 201 a list of tModel binding instances corresponding to the peer containers of container 201 in accordance with the specified tModel; p. 3, paragraph 0033].

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Bau to incorporate the features of Brown. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the combination because this allows Web service containers to be made aware of the contents of other containers by querying the UDDI registry and dynamically position Web services on the network based upon various heuristics such as "point-of-demand" [p. 5, paragraph 0052 of Brown].

8. As to claim 2, Bau teaches a UUID (Universally Unique Identified) algorithm is used to provide the second key for the at least one object [globally unique identifier (GUID); p. 6, paragraph 0063].

9. As to claim 3, Bau teaches each key is unique [p. 5, paragraph 0056].
10. As to claim 4, Bau teaches the second key provided is monotonically increasing [p. 6, paragraph 0063].
11. As to claim 5, Bau as modified teaches each object of the plurality of UDDI object [p. 3, paragraph 0034 of Brown] has at least one of a defined key and a second key [p. 6, paragraph 0063 of Bau].
12. As to claim 11, Bau as modified teaches the plurality of UDDI objects comprises a Business Entity object [p. 3, paragraph 0034 of Brown], a Business Service Object [web service object; p. 6, paragraph 0059 of Brown], a Binding Template Object [binding template WSDL; p. 3, paragraph 0034 of Brown], a Publisher Assertion Object [p. 8, paragraph 0086 of Brown], and a TModel object [tModel binding instances; p. 3, paragraph 0033 of Brown].
13. As to claims 6 – 10 and 12, these are product claims that correspond to method claims 1 – 5 and 11; see the rejections to claims 1 – 5 and 11 above, which also meet these product claims.

Conclusion

14. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

CONTACT INFORMATION

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Li B. Zhen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3768. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 8:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Thomson can be reached on 571-272-3718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2194

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Li B. Zhen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2194

lbz

/Li B. Zhen/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194